

Noetic Sciences Target tasked by IONS - NS4  
Remote viewed by Anita Ikonen - Method EEC  
Target no target number  
Today February 23, 2019  
Start 3:31 PM

Noetic Target 4  
Today February 23 2019  
Start 3:31 PM

This one feels soft and is blue.

I note that now my mind suddenly works slowly compared to the earlier three that were instant. I notice how my mind reacted to being wrong in the previous session which was just a few seconds ago and is now putting on the breaks, of course the mind tries to learn from mistakes and this putting on the breaks is obviously a caution that the mind takes, not that I am doing this deliberately, but remote viewing uses the brain, and the brain operates in a number of ways with information processing that are still wanting to act in their normal ways, so this is the reaction to having failed the previous session, my mind - we must call it logic - wants to analyze the impressions that I have and is trying to somehow decide whether they are perfectly logically safe to allow to pass as correct information, but this acts as a blockage which makes remote viewing not possible.

I have a soft blue fabric which has a wavy pattern all across it. I see nothing else.

Let me reach my hand into the gray box on the source page, this is a probing method I usually use after completion of the secondary stage.

Oh, my hand touches against something which feels silky soft. There is a big drop down to the ground.

I notice how logic in my mind is now stressing about points. A scoring system is not healthy for the remote viewing ability. RV does not care about points, but logic does, logic worries about the score, it fears being wrong and it feels an emotional reward system for being correct, meanwhile the part of the brain that does remote viewing is emotionally indifferent to the outcome. So when a scoring system is set in place, with the emotionally perceived punishments and rewards, this activates logic. Logic is always able to speak louder than RV, and the only way to let RV do its thing is when logic is quiet. I have seen no way of making RV louder, so the only way to get RV relatively louder as compared to logic, is to make logic quieter, which includes many factors one of those factors being to not have a point score or reward/punishment system for remote viewing. Remote viewing methodology must be furnished in every possible way for the best optimal performance of the RV mind.

Now logic is stressful and frantic in my mind worrying about being wrong, panicking. Me as the conscious individual I am indifferent and I couldn't care less about the points or about being wrong

or right, but logic in the mind is very active and worried right now and this is also interfering with feeling the RV information.

It's very soft and billowy and velvet and blue. I cannot really go anywhere here, we are in a confined space and not in the open outdoors. The wall on the far left arches toward the center as it rises up, so we are inside of an enclosed space. There is no way to get out of here. There are rips in the fabric wall which makes it seem as if we are watching the individual streams of water falling in a wavy pattern like on a waterfall.

It all feels very soft and smooth against the skin, but we are inside of a closed space. It curves on the roof so the wall going to ceiling is not a box shape. It's very blue here. Soft. Wavy.

There are no hard materials here and no sharp lines or edges.

Feedback: The target is a scene from a beach, several people are lying on a towel sunbathing others are walking or swimming in the water. There is sand of the beach, the sky is visible, the water with some gentle oceans lapping on the shore, some grass in the background, several palm trees, and a large building in the background.

I would call my report acceptable, the blue waves and mention of water, the sensation I had of soft velvety fabrics is also there as people are lying on fabrics they would be feeling it against their almost fully naked skin. We are not inside of a closed space, nor does the target picture resemble a closed space. I did not see nor sense the sand or the grass or the palm trees or the humans or the building or the sky.

They say that when a remote viewer does several targets on the same day or one after the other, "bleedthrough" can happen, which I have called "future target contamination". In the previous session I had sand with grass, this could be a coincidence and not actual future target contamination - since future target contamination precludes that remote viewing is taking place. But it is here a possibility.

I did no manner of closing future targets in the previous session.

If a person is remote viewing, like here I did session 3 immediately followed by session 4 with no amount of pause in between. What distinguishes the feedback photo from the 3rd and the 4th to the remote viewing mind?

How does one close future targets to avoid future target contamination, if that happened? I must begin again to do "Relax, close past and future targets" not knowing how I do that other than to tell my mind and to relax and to try to feel it as if it is happening as if my focus is narrowing down to the present target only. And perhaps it should not be allowed to RV more than one target in a day so that a natural closing might happen.

Nonetheless, I am happy with the result of this session, I do see a correlation there which is acceptable. The report I made primarily describes blue waves, and soft velvety fabric against the skin, I even wrote "It all feels very soft and smooth against the skin". There is a correlation, although

of course it would have been nicer to have the sand, perhaps the palm trees, the people, but the correlation is there.

I want to do another target but I worry about targets mixing together. The interesting thing about future target contamination is that it can - as a theoretical phenomenon - pull in information from a subsequent target which has not yet been constructed and at a time when I have not decided to remote view a subsequent target! Had I been successful at target 3 the previous one, I would have most likely taken a little break before doing the next one. 1 and 2 had been very successful so doing the 3rd I was expecting the same success, meaning I was not even expecting of myself to do the 4th after the 3rd! So if future target contamination happens, it happens by a phenomenon which pulls information from targets not yet constructed and into a mind which is expecting to not even HAVE that 4th target happen at all! So the phenomenon knows my future more accurately than how I know or how I expect my future to become.

I would get totally scared of the time factors at play in remote viewing, if it were not that remote viewing in itself is kinda scary and I am comfortable and accustomed to RV so that helps me to not freak out about the time phenomena that are observed during certain RV work with targets. I look back and remember my first ever targets in February 2018 when I saw how good a correlation my report had with the target feedback I would sometimes literally scream and cry and feel faint and breathless! I still sometimes get breathless, like in the 1st and maybe also 2nd targets in THIS Noetic series.

However, future target contamination, as it is suspected to have happened here from this target 4 down into the previous target 3, however fascinating and thrilling it is as a concept of I suppose quantum physics and reality, it does however pose as a nuisance in remote viewing work. There is absolutely no benefit in having elements from a future target appear and become reported in a previous current target, as this introduces incorrect material into the report.

One has to wonder that the remote viewing entity that is doing the remote viewing work, it had no way of separating between the target or feedback of 3 versus 4. No wall had been built between them, and they were both seen within only a few minutes apart. I have now not written the time stamp of when I clicked to see feedback, but now after all this writing it is 4:02 PM.

The time stamp on when the result image file was saved was at 3:47 PM, I checked that the time stamp is accurate by now creating a new random image file and saving it and seeing that its time stamp corresponds to the actual time on my clock. So at 3:47 PM I saved the result page, which should be pretty much the time at which I submitted my information and clicked to see the feedback page. Time information might be valuable in the further study of the future target contamination phenomenon which is here again suspected of having taken place, because I recognize the sand with the grass.

Thoughts on improvement: Ideally figure out a way to deal with future target contamination (which seems to pull information from FUTURE target into its PRECEDING target, which may have been a problem in the previous target 3 but not here, unless I did not see the beach and grass because it

"went over to" target 3?). Explore elements and their full shape and connections to adjacent elements. Feeling the boundaries to find the shapes, here to find where water ends and beach sand begins for instance. Is secondary probing stage possible in Noetic targets to explore elements, boundaries, etc? Previous observations on these Noetic targets have seemed to suggest that probing secondary stage was not possible, but there is no reason not to try.