Noetic Sciences Target tasked by IONS - NS3 Remote viewed by Anita Ikonen - Method EEC Target no target number Today February 23, 2019 Start 3:08 PM

Noetic Target 3 Today February 23 2019 Start 3:08 PM

There is something flat on the ground, it doesn't reach high at all, and it is surrounded by grass. It is a black round rim, ring, on the ground, flat on the ground. We are on the ground.

The past two previous Noetic RV targets did exceptionally well on initial impressions, and performed poorly on secondary probing stage. The question then is whether I should proceed to a secondary probing stage on this target. For two reasons I will do a secondary stage, one being that the outcome of only two past targets is only two data sets and too early to confidently conclude that the secondary stage fails at the Noetic targets, and also I can do secondary stage here because "I have got nothing to loose" the worst that can happen with a failed secondary stage is the introduction of additional secondary stage material into this report. The initial information is already logged and is there, and the following will be containing secondary stage material.

Also different for Noetic targets is that I have no target number, I am only looking at the gray box on the source page webpage, furthermore each target I choose has for these three first ones been titled on the source page as "Trial 1" since one would have to do several consequtive targets in the same sitting for them to be numbered 1, 2, 3 and so forth.

I now attempt a secondary stage.

The black ring rim on the ground is sharp.

What methods should I use in the probing stage? Based on the experiences from the two earlier Noetic targets I want to first try "going to the target site" and letting impressions gradually over time - hopefully - take form if being entirely undisturbed, not poke or probed with any effort.

I also make the comment that for all these three Noetic target so far, the initial impressions are IMMEDIATE and STRONG/CLEAR.

I use the method go to target site and I place myself there to see what shows itself without applying any effort or probing methods. It is sunny and green we are outdoors and there is grass. There is no water here, the ground feels sandy and gritty with some small rock pebbles. The ground is beige brown. It really is a beautiful day there outdoors. The heat is radiating upwards from the ground. There are no people here (except, presumably, logically thinking, the person who took the photograph but is not sensed by me).

We are on the ground. The ground is not just visible, but we are on the ground. Nothing is moving here, things are stationary. There is definitely grass around the rim, possibly on the inside as well as the outside of the rim, but at least on the outside of the rim.

Feedback: The target picture is a man and a woman dressed in traditional Japanese costume. There is no grass and no element similar to the round rim ring there is also no ground on the picture and no sand visible. Unless of course the black borders on their clothing was symmetrisized in my mind into a round ring (first time I use symmetrisized as a term in a report, but I thought of the term just a day or so ago, it means that the mind takes a shape and lets it turn into a symmetric shape such as a ring, sphere, box, etc even if the shape is only found as a partial part of that shape).

Whether or not the black ring came from the rounded half-circle that the black border of the woman's clothing makes, or not, the session must be graded as a fail because of the overwhelming errors in the report. I usually give always a grade of C if it is clear that an initial main element can be derived from the picture, which here maybe it is the border of the woman's clothing, however in this particular target there is an overwhelming amount of error, and also the manner in which the black ring element was put into the report is inadequate. Usually if I did take an element from a target picture I give it a C but in this case even if it did come from the picture, the quality of the report is simply dismal. It may also be that as my remote viewing overall is evolving and improving, that I am automatically raising the expectations on myself, but regardless of any reasoning, this session clearly did not go well.

3:30 PM End session and notes.