

Picture Matching Target tasked by [undisclosed] - PM3

Remote viewed by Anita Ikonen - Method EEC

Target 2437-3218

Today October 9, 2018

Start 10:54 PM

Stage 5 procedure

Today October 9, 2018

Start 10:54 PM.

Target 2437-3218

This one smells and tastes like grass, like eating grass. Green grass and nature, I am tasting the leaves being eaten, I can taste it someone is eating grass or leaves. We are in a garden or a park. There is sunshine here, it is pleasant here. I like the sunshine. It shines good on my body. It is nice here, and all this day is pleasant. Nothing is scaring me here, or alarming me. I can smell the grass, that I can now eat. It is pleasant here, and no one brings me down. No one will try to catch me. I am pleased here. I can be safe, I am not being chased.

I feel drowsy here, like I can sleep. Someone an animal is hiding in the leaves.

10:54 PM. I want to know the set number. Set 1. Bear, barbeque, airport control tower, air force cargo aircraft, ancient rome bronze wolf.

The only of the five targets of this set that could be a reasonable match is the black bear, it is an animal in a place with grass and leaves and sunshine and it is biting into a fish, perhaps I mistook the taste of raw fish as the taste of grass.

I have to go with the choice of bear for this target, I could not justify choosing any of the other four for my impressions without completely disregarding my remote viewed impressions entirely, and so bear is my choice.

11:07 PM. Choice black bear.

The right answer is airport control tower.

I have now done three Stage 5 targets. If we look at the reports I produce during Stage 1 procedure, there is typically good correlation between report and target feedback page. In Stage 5 after just three targets I can already conclude that the procedure hinders remote viewing. Is this switching taking place? Or possibly a new blending phenomenon? Were these Stage 1 procedure targets I would be doing much better, and a correlation could be seen between reports and feedback pages. Here we pretty much conclude that in Stage 5 procedure we find no correlation, just complete mismatches and nothing indicative of remote viewing at all, well possibly the mention of elevation in the second target but nonetheless there the report indicated at the red

vehicle the speedboat.

Why is Stage 1 procedure successful in producing a good correlation between report and target? Whereas looking at individual reports and feedback pages in Stage 2 and Stage 5 we do not see the same correlation? Did logic try to guess my targets in Stage 5, because logic knows what the targets were? First I had the impression that this target was the orangutan, later I thought it would be the okapi, so perhaps logic was trying to guess, perhaps because logic had not seen any mammals in the possible target pages so far that it thought those would have an "empty space" to fill?

Is it that I do much better with completely blind targets, and does that mean that logic really is ruining things? In Stage 2 the targets were blind yet we had switching.

I will have to devise a Stage 6 procedure and think about all of this.

ELEMENTS LISTING

Green grass

Sunshine

2437-3218